# Logarithmic two dimensional spin-1/3 fractional supersymmetric conformal field theories and the two-point functions

F. Kheirandish<sup>1,a</sup>, M. Khorrami<sup>1,2,b</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences, P.O. Box 159, Zanjan 45195, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Studies in Theoretical physics and Mathematics, P.O. Box 5531, Tehran 19395, Iran

Received: 30 September 2000 / Published online: 5 February 2001 - © Springer-Verlag 2001

**Abstract.** Logarithmic spin-1/3 superconformal field theories are investigated. The chiral and full two-point functions of two- (or higher-) dimensional Jordanian blocks of arbitrary weights are obtained.

## 1 Introduction

According to Gurarie [1], conformal field theories of which the correlation functions exhibit logarithmic behaviour may be consistently defined. In some interesting physical theories like polymers [2], WZNW models [3–6], percolation [7], the Haldane–Rezavi quantum Hall state [8], and edge excitation in the fractional quantum Hall effect [9], logarithmic correlation functions appear. Also the logarithmic operators can be considered in 2D-magnetohydrodynamic turbulence [10–12], 2D-turbulence ([13, 14]) and some critical disordered models [15,16]. Logarithmic conformal field theories for the D-dimensional case (D > 2) have also been studied [17]. In this paper we consider a superconformal extension of the Virasoro algebra [18,19] corresponding to three-component supermultiplets, and then, following [20-22], generalize the superconformal field to Jordanian blocks of quasi-superconformal fields. We then find the two-point functions of chiral- and full-component fields. It is seen that these correlators are readily obtained through formal derivatives of correlators of superprimary fields, just as was seen in [20–22].

#### 2 Superprimary and quasi-superprimary fields

A chiral superprimary field  $\Phi(z, \theta)$  with conformal weight  $\Delta$  is an operator satisfying [18]

$$[L_n, \Phi(z, \theta)] = \left[ z^{n+1} \partial_z + (n+1) \left( \Delta + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \right) z^n \right] \\ \times \Phi(z, \theta), \tag{1}$$
$$[G_r, \Phi(z, \theta)] = [z^{r+1/3} \delta_\theta - q^2 z^{r+1/3} \theta^2 \partial_z$$

$$- (3r+1)q^2 \Delta z^{r-2/3} \theta^2] \Phi(z,\theta), \qquad (2)$$

<sup>b</sup> e-mail: mamwad@iasbs.ac.ir

where  $\theta$  is a para-Grassmann variable, satisfying  $\theta^3 = 0$ , q is one of the third roots of unity, not equal to one, and  $\delta_{\theta}$  and  $\Lambda$  satisfy [18,19]

$$\delta_{\theta}\theta = q^{-1}\theta\delta_{\theta} + 1, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\Lambda, \theta] = \theta, \quad [\Lambda, \delta_{\theta}] = -\delta_{\theta}. \tag{4}$$

Here  $L_n$ 's and  $G_r$ 's are the generators of the super-Virasoro algebra satisfying

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m},$$
  
$$[L_n, G_r] = \left(\frac{n}{3} - r\right)G_{n+r},$$
 (5)

and

$$G_r G_s G_t +$$
 five other permutations of the indices  
=  $6L_{r+s+t}$ . (6)

The superprimary field  $\Phi(z,\theta)$  is written

$$\Phi := \Phi(z,\theta) = \varphi(z,\theta) + \theta\varphi_1(z) + \theta^2\varphi_2(z), \qquad (7)$$

where  $\varphi_1(z)$  and  $\varphi_2(z)$  are para-Grassmann fields of grades 1 and 2, respectively. One can similarly define a complete superprimary field  $\Phi(z, \bar{z}, \theta, \bar{\theta})$  with the weights  $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$  and the expansion

$$\Phi = \sum_{k,k'=0}^{2} \theta^{k} \bar{\theta}^{k'} \varphi_{kk'}, \qquad (8)$$

through (1) and (2), and obvious analogous relations with the  $\bar{L}_n$ 's and  $\bar{G}_r$ 's. Now suppose that the first component field  $\varphi(z)$  in the chiral superprimary field  $\Phi(z,\theta)$  has a logarithmic counterpart  $\varphi'(z)$  [20]:

$$[L_n, \varphi'(z)] = [z^{n+1}\partial_z + (n+1)z^n \Delta]\varphi'(z) + (n+1)z^n\varphi(z).$$
(9)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> e-mail: fardin@iasbs.ac.ir

We will show that  $\varphi'(z)$  is the first component field of a new superfield  $\Phi'(z,\theta)$ , which is the formal derivative of the superfield  $\Phi(z,\theta)$  with respect to its weight. Let us define the fields  $f'_r(z)$  by

$$[G_r, \varphi'(z)] =: z^{r+1/3} f'_r(z), \tag{10}$$

where r + (1/3) is an integer. Following [22], acting on both sides of the above equation with  $L_m$  and using the Jacobi identity, and using (9), (1), and (5), we have

$$[L_m, f'_r(z)] = \left(\frac{m}{3} - r\right) z^m [f'_{m+r}(z) - f'_r(z)] + \left[z^{m+1}\partial_z + (m+1)\left(\Delta + \frac{1}{3}\right) z^m\right] f'_r(z) + (m+1)z^m \varphi_1(z).$$
(11)

Demanding

$$[L_{-1}, f'_r(z)] = \partial_z f'_r(z), \qquad (12)$$

it is easy to show that

$$f'_r(z) = \begin{cases} \psi'(z), \ r \ge -1/3, \\ \psi''(z), \ r \le -4/3. \end{cases}$$
(13)

Then, equating  $[L_1, f'_{-4/3}(z)]$  and  $[L_1, f'_{-7/3}(z)]$ , we obtain

$$\psi'(z) = \psi''(z) =: \psi'_1.$$
 (14)

So in this way we obtain a well-defined field  $\psi'_1$ , satisfying

$$[G_r, \varphi'] = z^{r+1/3} \psi'_1, \tag{15}$$

$$[L_n, \psi'_1] = \left[ z^{n+1} \partial_z + (n+1) z^n \left( \Delta + \frac{1}{3} \right) \right] \psi'_1$$
$$+ (n+1) z^n \psi_1.$$
(16)

Again, let us define the fields  $h'_r(z)$  through

$$[G_r, \psi'_1]_{q^{-1}} := -z^{r+1/3} h'_r(z).$$
(17)

Acting on both sides with  $L_m$  and using the generalized Jacobi identity [18]:

$$\begin{split} & [[G_r, \psi_1']_{q^{-1}}, L_m] + [G_r, [L_m, \psi_1']]_{q^{-1}} \\ & + [[L_m, G_r], \psi_1']_{q^{-1}} = 0, \end{split}$$
(18)

we obtain

$$[L_m, h'_r] = \left[ z^{m+1} \partial_z + (m+1) \left( \Delta + \frac{1}{3} \right) z^m \right] h'_r + (m+1) z^m \psi_2 + \left( \frac{m}{3} - r \right) z^m h'_{m+r} + \left( r + \frac{1}{3} \right) z^m h'_r.$$
(19)

Then, using the same method applied to determine the form of the functions  $f'_r(z)$ , we find a well-defined field  $\psi'_2$  satisfying

$$[G_r, \psi_1']_{q^{-1}} = -z^{r+1/3}\psi_2', \tag{20}$$

$$[L_n, \psi'_2] = \left[ z^{n+1} \partial_z + (n+1) \left( \Delta + \frac{2}{3} \right) z^n \right] \psi'_2 + (n+1) z^n \psi_2.$$
(21)

Finally, we must calculate  $[G_r, \psi'_2]_{q^{-2}}$ . Substituting for  $\psi'_2(z)$  from (20) and (15), and using (6), we have

$$[G_r, \psi'_2]_q = -[z^{r+1/3}\partial_z\varphi'(z) + (3r+1)z^{r-2/3}\Delta\varphi'(z) + (3r+1)z^{r-2/3}\varphi(z)].$$
(22)

Now we define the quasi-superprimary field  $\Phi'$ :

$$\Phi' := \Phi'(z,\theta) = \varphi'(z) + \theta \psi_1'(z) + \theta^2 \psi_2'(z).$$
(23)

It is easy to see that

$$[L_n, \Phi'] = \left[ z^{n+1} \partial_z + (n+1) z^n \left( \Delta + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \right) \right] \Phi'$$
$$+ (n+1) z^n \Phi, \tag{24}$$

$$[G_r, \Phi'] = [z^{r+1/3}(\delta_\theta - q^2\theta^2\partial_z) - (3r+1)z^{r-2/3}q^2\Delta\theta^2]\Phi' - q^2(3r+1)z^{r-2/3}\theta^2\Phi.$$
(25)

We see that (24) and (25) are formal derivatives of (1) and (2) with respect to  $\Delta$ , provided one defines the formal derivative [20–22]

$$\Phi'(z,\theta) =: \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi}{\mathrm{d}\Delta}.$$
 (26)

The two superfields  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , are a two-dimensional Jordanian block of quasi-primary fields. The generalization of the above results to an *m*-dimensional Jordanian block is obvious:

$$[L_n, \Phi^i] = \left[ z^{n+1} \partial_z + (n+1) z^n \left( \Delta + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \right) \right] \Phi^i$$
$$+ (n+1) z^n \Phi^{i-1}, \qquad (27)$$

and

$$G_{r}, \Phi^{(i)}] = [z^{r+1/3}(\delta_{\theta} - q^{2}\theta^{2}\partial_{z}) - (3r+1)q^{2}z^{r-2/3}\Delta\theta^{2}]\Phi^{i} - q^{2}\theta^{2}(3r+1)z^{r-2/3}\Phi^{(i-1)}.$$
(28)

Here  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ , and the first member of the block,  $\Phi^{(0)}$ , is a superprimary field. It is easy to show that (27) and (28) are satisfied through the formal relation

$$\Phi^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{i}!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^i \Phi^{(0)}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta^i}.$$
(29)

### 3 Two-point functions of Jordanian blocks

Consider two Jordanian blocks of chiral quasi-primary fields  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$ , with the weights  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  and dimensions p and q, respectively. As the only closed subalgebra of the super-Virasoro algebra of which the central extension is trivial is formed by  $[L_{-1}, L_0, G_{-1/3}]$ , the correlator of fields with different weights may be nonzero. According to [18],

$$\langle \varphi_k^{(0)} \varphi_{k'}^{\prime(0)} \rangle = a_K \frac{A_{kk'} (\Delta + \Delta' + (k + k' - 3)/3)^{B_{kk'}}}{(z - z')^{\Delta + \Delta' + (k + k')/3}}$$
  
=:  $a_K f_{k,k'} (z - z'),$  (30)

where  $A_{kk'}$  and  $B_{kk'}$  are the components of the following matrices:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ q^2 & -q^2 & q^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

 $a_0, a_1$ , and  $a_2$ , are arbitrary constants, and

$$K = k + k' \mod 3. \tag{32}$$

The general form of the two-point functions of Jordanian blocks is then readily obtained, using (29):

$$\langle \varphi_k^{(i)} \varphi_{k'}^{(j)} \rangle = \frac{1}{i!} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^i}{\mathrm{d}\Delta^i} \frac{\mathrm{d}^j}{\mathrm{d}\Delta'^j} \\ \times \frac{a_K A_{kk'} (\Delta + \Delta' + (k + k' - 3)/3)^{B_{kk'}}}{(z - z')^{\Delta + \Delta' + (k + k')/3}}.$$
(33)

Here  $0 \le i \le p-1$ , and  $0 \le j \le q-1$ . In this formal differentiation, one should treat the constants  $a_i$  as functions of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$ . So there will be other arbitrary constants

$$a_i^{(j),(k)} := \frac{\mathrm{d}^j}{\mathrm{d}\Delta^j} \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}\Delta^k} a_i \tag{34}$$

in these correlators.

To consider the correlators of the full field, one begins with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_{k\bar{k}}^{(00)}(z,\bar{z})\varphi_{k'\bar{k}'}^{\prime(00)}(z,\bar{z}) \rangle \\ &= a_{K\bar{K}}q^{-k\bar{k}}f_{k,k'}(z-z')\bar{f}_{\bar{k},\bar{k}'}(\bar{z}-\bar{z}'), \qquad (35) \end{aligned}$$

obtained in [18]. Here  $f_{k,k'}(z-z')$  is defined in (30) and  $\bar{f}_{\bar{k},\bar{k}'}(\bar{z}-\bar{z}')$  is the same as this expression with  $\Delta \to \bar{\Delta}$  and  $\Delta' \to \bar{\Delta}'$ . Also,

$$K = k + k' \bmod 3, \tag{36}$$

$$\bar{K} = \bar{k} + \bar{k}' \mod 3. \tag{37}$$

Using the obvious generalization of (29), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{split} \langle \varphi_{k,\bar{k}}^{(ij)} \varphi_{k',\bar{k}'}^{(lm)} \rangle &= \frac{1}{i!j!l!m!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta^{i}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{j}}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\Delta}^{j}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{l}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta'^{l}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{m}}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\Delta}'^{m}} \\ &\times [a_{K\bar{K}} f_{k,k'} \bar{f}\bar{k}, \bar{k}']. \end{split}$$
(38)

Again, one should treat the  $a_{K\bar{K}}$ 's as formal functions of the weights, so that differentiating them with respect to the weights introduces new arbitrary parameters.

## References

- 1. V. Gurarie, Nucl. Phys. B 410, 535 [FS] (1993)
- 2. H. Saleur, Yale preprint YCTP-P 38-91 (1991)
- 3. L. Rozansky, H. Saleur, Nucl. Phys. B **376**, 461 (1992)
- I.I. Kogan, N.E. Mavromatos, Phys. Lett. B 375, 111 (1996)
- J.S. Caux, I.I. Kogan, A. Lewis, A.M. Tsvelik, Nucl. Phys. B 489, 469 (1997)
- 6. I.I. Kogan, A. Lewis, hep-th/9705240
- 7. J. Cardy, J. Phys. A 25, L201 (1992)
- 8. V. Gurarie, M.A.I. Flohr, C. Nayak, cond-math/9701212
- X.G. Wen, Y.S. Wu, Y. Hatsugai, Nucl. Phys. B 422 476 [FS] (1994)
- M.R. Rahimi Tabar, S. Rouhani, Annals Phys. 246, 446 (1996)
- M.R. Rahimi Tabar, S. Rouhani, Nuovo Cimento B **112**, 1079 (1997)
- M.R. Rahimi Tabar, S. Rouhani, Europhysics. Lett. 37, 447 (1997)
- 13. M.A.I. Flohr, Nucl. Phys. B 482, 567 (1996)
- M.R. Rahimi Tabar, S. Rouhani, Phys. Lett. A 224, 331 (1997)
- J.S. Caux, I.I. Kogan, A.M. Tsvelik, Nucl. Phys. B 466, 444 (1996)
- 16. Z. Maassarani, D. Serban, Nucl. Phys. B 489, 603 (1997)
- A.M. Ghezelbash, V. Karimipour, Phys. Lett. B 402, 282 (1997)
- F. Kheirandish, M. Khorrami, hep-th/0004154, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A (in press)
- 19. A.T. Filippov, A.P. Isaev, A.B. Kurdikov, hep-th/9212157
- M.R. Rahimi Tabar, A. Aghamohammadi, M. Khorrami, Nucl. Phys. B 497, 555 (1997)
- M. Khorrami, A. Aghamohammadi, M.R. Rahimi Tabar, Phys. Lett. B 419, 179 (1998)
- M. Khorrami, A. Aghamohammadi, A.M. Ghezelbash, Phys. Lett. B 439, 283 (1998)